

## *p*-adic analysis and zeta functions

Institute of Mathematics, Eötvös

Loránd Tudományegyetem

Ritoprovo Roy

January 9, 2024





We begin with a little bit of history in order to spark up the interest

• In the year of 1897, **Hensel** came up with the concept of *p*-adic numbers.



- In the year of 1897, **Hensel** came up with the concept of *p*-adic numbers.
- A formalisation was done by **Ostrowski**, and he classified the norm on  $\mathbb{Q}$ . His theorem, upgraded the view of *p*-adic's from a mere subset of rationals to a subset of topological spectrum over rationals.



- In the year of 1897, **Hensel** came up with the concept of *p*-adic numbers.
- A formalisation was done by **Ostrowski**, and he classified the norm on Q. His theorem, upgraded the view of *p*-adic's from a mere subset of rationals to a subset of topological spectrum over rationals.
- In the later part of 20<sup>th</sup> century a much more wider spectrum from **Kubota** and **Leopoldt** was established bringing out it's importance in number theory.



- In the year of 1897, **Hensel** came up with the concept of *p*-adic numbers.
- A formalisation was done by **Ostrowski**, and he classified the norm on Q. His theorem, upgraded the view of *p*-adic's from a mere subset of rationals to a subset of topological spectrum over rationals.
- In the later part of 20<sup>th</sup> century a much more wider spectrum from **Kubota** and **Leopoldt** was established bringing out it's importance in number theory.
- Formally, given a prime number p, a p-adic number can be defined as a series (for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $0 < a_i < p$ )

$$s = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_i p^i$$



## Introduction to *p*-adic numbers

Motivation, An overview of p-adic numbers and metric formulation on  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ 





#### We use two different directions to motivate us to go into *p*-adic numbers,



We use two different directions to motivate us to go into *p*-adic numbers,

• Solving Polynomial Equations.



We use two different directions to motivate us to go into *p*-adic numbers,

- Solving Polynomial Equations.
- Completing the number system i.e, finding limits to all Cauchy Sequences.



We use two different directions to motivate us to go into *p*-adic numbers,

- Solving Polynomial Equations.
- Completing the number system i.e, finding limits to all Cauchy Sequences.

Let us recall the construction of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,



We use two different directions to motivate us to go into *p*-adic numbers,

- Solving Polynomial Equations.
- Completing the number system i.e, finding limits to all Cauchy Sequences.

Let us recall the construction of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

#### **Solving Equations**

Linear Equations x + a = 0, ax = bQuadratic Equations  $x^2 - a = 0$ 



We use two different directions to motivate us to go into *p*-adic numbers,

- Solving Polynomial Equations.
- Completing the number system i.e, finding limits to all Cauchy Sequences.

Let us recall the construction of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

#### **Solving Equations**

Linear Equations x + a = 0, ax = bQuadratic Equations  $x^2 - a = 0$ 

#### **Cauchy Sequences via completion**

Let *S* be the set of all Cauchy Sequences of rational numbers. We say two sequence  $\{a_i\}$  and  $\{b_i\}$  are equivalent( $\sim$ ) iff  $|a_i - b_i| \to 0$  as  $i \to \infty$ . This is an equivalent relation. One can observe that  $\mathbb{R} = S/\sim$  i.e set of all equivalence classes of *S*.



• But still we are not done. Going by approach of algebraically closeness we still don't have answer to  $x^2 + 2 = 0$ .



- But still we are not done. Going by approach of algebraically closeness we still don't have answer to  $x^2 + 2 = 0$ .
- So we define  $\mathbb{C} = \{a + ib | a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , and by fundamental theorem of algebra  $\mathbb{C}$  is algebraically closed.



- But still we are not done. Going by approach of algebraically closeness we still don't have answer to  $x^2 + 2 = 0$ .
- So we define  $\mathbb{C} = \{a + ib | a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , and by fundamental theorem of algebra  $\mathbb{C}$  is algebraically closed.
- We also see that with respect to  $\mathbb{C}$  is also closed with respect to the norm,  $|a + ib| = a^2 + b^2$ .



- But still we are not done. Going by approach of algebraically closeness we still don't have answer to  $x^2 + 2 = 0$ .
- So we define  $\mathbb{C} = \{a + ib | a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , and by fundamental theorem of algebra  $\mathbb{C}$  is algebraically closed.
- We also see that with respect to  $\mathbb C$  is also closed with respect to the norm,  $|a + ib| = a^2 + b^2$ .

As a result  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  is our finish point.





- We follow a similar approach for defining a metric on  $\mathbb{Q}.$ 

Approach
$\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$
$\mathbb{Q}\subset \mathbb{Q}_p\subset ar{\mathbb{Q}_p}\subset \mathbb{C}_p$



## Introduction

#### **Norm/Valuation**

A *norm* or *valuation* of a field  $\mathbb{F}$  is a map  $\|.\|: \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  that satisfies

- ||x|| = 0 iff x = 0
- ||xy|| = ||x|| ||y||
- $||x+y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$  (Triangle Inequality)



## Introduction

#### **Norm/Valuation**

A *norm* or *valuation* of a field  $\mathbb{F}$  is a map  $\|.\|: \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  that satisfies

- ||x|| = 0 iff x = 0
- ||xy|| = ||x|| ||y||
- $||x+y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$  (Triangle Inequality)
- The pair  $(F, \|.\|)$  is called a valued field.



## Introduction

#### **Norm/Valuation**

A *norm* or *valuation* of a field  $\mathbb{F}$  is a map  $\|.\|: \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  that satisfies

- ||x|| = 0 iff x = 0
- ||xy|| = ||x|| ||y||
- \*  $\|x+y\| \le \|x\| + \|y\|$  (Triangle Inequality)
- The pair  $(F, \|.\|)$  is called a valued field.
- We can use norms to induce metric by setting

$$d(x,y) = \|x - y\|$$



## Introduction (Contd.....)

- The usual absolute value is a norm on  $\mathbb Q$  with the usual distance metric induced by the absolute value norm.



## Introduction (Contd.....)

- The usual absolute value is a norm on  $\mathbb Q$  with the usual distance metric induced by the absolute value norm.
- We try to construct a new norm in the following way: Let p be a prime number and for each  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  we write x in the following way

$$x = p^{v_p(x)} x_1$$

where  $v_p$  is the highest power of p dividing x and  $x_1$  is a rational number co-prime to p.



## **The Ultrametric Property**

• One says that a valuation satisfies the ultrametric property, if it also satisfies the property,

 $|x+y| \leq \max(|x|,|y|)$ 



## The Ultrametric Property

• One says that a valuation satisfies the ultrametric property, if it also satisfies the property,

 $|x+y| \le \max(|x|,|y|)$ 

For example:

#### Defining the metric

Let  $\rho$  be any real number. We can now define the metric on  $\mathbb{R}[X]$ 

$$|f| = \begin{cases} 0 & f = 0\\ \rho^{d(f)} & f \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

#### **Degree of polynomial**

For a non-zero polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ , we set

$$d(f) = \begin{cases} n & f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots a_n x^n, \quad a_i \neq 0 \quad \forall i \\ -\infty & f(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$



# The Topology and Arithmetic in $\mathbb{Q}_p$

The geometry, arithmetic and the Hensel's lemma





• We induce a metric structure on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,

 $d(x,y) = |x - y|_p$ 



• We induce a metric structure on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,

$$d(x,y) = |x - y|_p$$

• We can check that this does satisfies the property of metrics.



• We induce a metric structure on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,

$$d(x,y) = |x - y|_p$$

- We can check that this does satisfies the property of metrics.
- · We also have a stronger property than triangle inequality,

 $d(x,y) \le \max(d(x,y),d(x,z))$ 



• We induce a metric structure on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,

$$d(x,y) = |x - y|_p$$

- We can check that this does satisfies the property of metrics.
- · We also have a stronger property than triangle inequality,

 $d(x,y) \le \max(d(x,y),d(x,z))$ 



## The Geometry

- The structure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , becomes interesting and counter-intuitive in some eyes.
- One can show that all triangles in this system are isoceles.
- Yet another interesting property, lies with topological concepts of open and closed balls

#### **Structure of balls**

Let *K* be a field with a non-archimedian absolute value then

- Every point that is contained in an open(closed) ball is the center of that ball.
- Every ball is both open and closed.
- Any two open(closed) balls are either disjoint or one is contained in another.



## Arithmetic in $\mathbb{Q}_p$

>

The general arithmetic in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , is very usual as in our normal arithmetic except for the fact that, "carrying", "borrowing" and "long multiplication" go from left to right, rather than right to left.

$$\begin{array}{r} 3+6\times7+2\times7^{2}+\cdots \\ \times \phantom{+}\frac{4+5\times7+1\times7^{2}+\cdots}{5+4\times7+4\times7^{2}+\cdots} \\ 1\times7+4\times7^{2}+\cdots \\ 3\times7^{2}+\cdots \\ \hline \phantom{+}\frac{3\times7^{2}+\cdots}{5+5\times7+4\times7^{2}+\cdots} \end{array}$$

**Figure:** Arithmetic in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ 



• A rather interesting topic is to find  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .



- A rather interesting topic is to find  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .
- For example  $\sqrt{6}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_5$  is given by,

$$\sqrt{6} = 1 + 3 \times 5 + 0 \times 5^2 + 4 \times 5^3 + \dots$$



- A rather interesting topic is to find  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .
- For example  $\sqrt{6}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_5$  is given by,

$$\sqrt{6} = 1 + 3 \times 5 + 0 \times 5^2 + 4 \times 5^3 + \dots$$

• In general our method, is based as follows, let  $a_0 + a_1 \times 5 + a_2 \times 5^2 + a_3 \times 5^3 + \dots$  be the square root. Then we have,

$$(a_0 + a_1 \times 5 + a_2 \times 5^2 + a_3 \times 5^3 + \dots)^2 = 1 + 1 \times 5^3$$



- A rather interesting topic is to find  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .
- For example  $\sqrt{6}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_5$  is given by,

$$\sqrt{6} = 1 + 3 \times 5 + 0 \times 5^2 + 4 \times 5^3 + \dots$$

• In general our method, is based as follows, let  $a_0 + a_1 \times 5 + a_2 \times 5^2 + a_3 \times 5^3 + \dots$  be the square root. Then we have,

$$(a_0 + a_1 \times 5 + a_2 \times 5^2 + a_3 \times 5^3 + \dots)^2 = 1 + 1 \times 5$$

• Comparing the coefficients(modulo 5) on both sides we get the result.


#### Hensel's Lemma

• The above method is placed as a generalised lemma formulated by Hensel.

#### Hensel's Lemma

Let  $F(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + \ldots a_nx^n$  be a polynomial in *p*-adic integers. Let F'(x) be the natural derivative of *F*. Let  $a_0$  be the *p*-adic integer  $F(a_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $F(a_0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  then there exists a unique *p*-adic integer *a* such that

 $F(a) = 0, \quad a \equiv a_0 \pmod{p}$ 

• For our case with 6 and  $\mathbb{Q}_5$ , we have  $F(x) = x^2 - 6$ , F'(x) = 2x and  $a_0 = 1$ .



# *p*-adic measures,distributions and IwasawaAlgebras

Power Series Rings, p-adic measures and Iwasawa Algebras





# Setup and Introduction

- Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  be a finite extension.
- Let  $O_K$  be the valuation K and  $\pi$  be the uniformizer of  $O_K$ .
- Let  $k=\mathit{O}_{\mathit{K}}/(\pi)$  be the residue field of  $\mathit{O}_{\mathit{K}}$ , which is finite extension of  $\mathbb{Z}_p/p\mathbb{Z}_p\simeq\mathbb{F}_p$

Our main goal of this chapter is to understand the following,

Re [ITI] Zp[12p1] Algebra



# **Power Series Ring in** *p***-adics**

#### We begin with an important lemma,

#### **Division Lemma**

Suppose

$$f = a_0 + a_1 T + a_2 T^2 + \dots \in O_K[|T|]$$

but  $\pi \not/f$ , i.e,  $f \notin O_K[|T|]$ . Let  $n = min\{i : a_i \notin (\pi)\}$ . Then any  $g \in O_K[|T|]$  can be uniquely written as q = qf + r where  $q \in O_K[|T|]$ , and  $r \in O_K[T]$  is a polynomial of degree at most n - 1.

• If  $\pi \not| f \in O_K[|T|]$ , then  $O_K[|T|]/(f)$  is a free  $O_K$  module of rank  $n = \{ \inf i : a_i \notin (\pi) \}$ , with the basis  $\{T^i | i < n\}$ .



# **Power Series Ring in** *p***-adics**

• We define the notion of a distinguished polynomial,

#### **Distinguished Polynomial**

A distinguished polynomial  $F(T) \in O_K[T]$  is a polynomial of the form

$$F(T) = T^n + a_{n-1}T^{n-1} + \dots + a_0, \quad a_i \in (\pi)$$

- We allow  $\pi^2 | a_0$  as to avoid for any irreducibility case due to Eisenstein criterion.
- An important implication from the theorem is, if F is a distinguished polynomial, then

$$O_K[T]/_FO_K[T] \simeq O_K[|T|]/_FO_K[|T|]$$



# **Power Series Ring in** *p***-adics**

· We begin with a rather important theorem,

#### *p*-adic Weirestrass Preperation Theorem

Let  $f \in O_K[|T|]$ , then f can be uniquely written as

 $f = \pi^{\mu} P(T) U(T)$ 

is a distinguished polynomial of degree  $n = \{ \inf i : ord_{\pi}(a_i) = \mu \}$ , U(T) is unit in  $O_K[|T|]$ . As a consequence,  $O_K[|T|]$  is a factorial domain.

• As an important corollary, Let  $f(T) \in O_K[|T|]$ , be non-zero. Then there can only be finitely many  $x \in C_p$ , |x| < 1 with f(x) = 0.



### Iwasawa Algebras - The Setup

- The theory of commutative lwasawa algebras were first introduced by the Japanese mathematician Kenkichi lwasawa.
- Let  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_p = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ , where the inverse limit is taken on *n*, where  $\Gamma$  is compact and pro-cyclic as a profinite group.
- Let  $\gamma$  be a topological generator of  $\Gamma$  and hence  $\Gamma = <\bar{\gamma}>.$
- Let  $\Gamma_n$  be generated by  $\gamma^{p^n}$ , and this be the unique closed group of index  $p^n$  of  $\Gamma$ , then  $\Gamma/\Gamma_n$ , is cyclic of order  $p^n$  generated by  $r + \Gamma_n$ .



#### Iwasawa Algebras - The Setup

One has isomorphism

$$O_K[\Gamma/\Gamma_n] \cong O_K[\Gamma]/((1+T)^{p^n}-1)$$
  
 $\gamma \mod \Gamma_n \rightarrow (1+T) \mod ((1+T)^{p^n}-1)$ 

• Moreover, if  $m \ge n \ge 0$ , the natural map of  $\Gamma/\Gamma_m \to \Gamma/\Gamma_n$  induces a natural map,

$$\phi_{m,n}: O_K[\Gamma/\Gamma_m] \to O_K[\Gamma/\Gamma_n]$$

• We let

$$O_K[|\Gamma|] = \varprojlim O_K[\Gamma/\Gamma_n] = \varprojlim O_K[\Gamma]/((1+T)^{p^n} - 1)$$

where the limits are taken on *n*.



# Iwasawa Algebras - The Setup

- We finally note that  $O_K$  is a topological ring which is compact and complete with the  $\pi$ -adic topology, so are  $O_K[\Gamma/\Gamma_n]$  and thus  $O_K[|\Gamma|]$  is the endowed with the product topology of  $\pi$ -adic topology. It is also compact and complete in this topology.
- We are now in a position to define what Iwasawa Algebras are,

lwasawa Algebras $\Lambda = \Lambda(\Gamma) = O_{K}[|\Gamma|]$ 

is called the Iwasawa Algebra over  $\Gamma$ .



#### Iwasawa Algebra

· An important thing to note is that,

#### Iwasawa Algebra on Profinite Group

Let G be a profinite abelian group, then Iwasawa algebra over G is given by,

 $\Gamma(G) = \varprojlim O_K[G/H]$ 

when limit is taken over all  $H \triangleleft G$ .

• In fact we are able to identify the rings  $O_K[|\Gamma|]$  and  $O_K[|T|]$ .

$$O_K[||T|] \cong O_K[|\Gamma|]$$
  
 $T \rightarrow \gamma - 1$ 



#### *p*-adic measures

· We begin with an important lemma,

#### Lemma

Any compact subset of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , can be expressed as a finite disjoint union of intervals  $a + p^N \mathbb{Z}_p = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x - a|_p \leq \frac{1}{p^N}\}$ 



### *p*-adic distributions

#### *p*-adic distribution

- Let *X* be a compact open subset of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . A *p*-adic distribution  $\mu$  on *X*, is an additive map from the compact open set in *X* to  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , i.e if *U* is compact open in *X* and is a finite disjoint union of compact open subsets  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$  then

$$u(U) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_i$$

• A *p*-adic distribution  $\mu$  on *X* is called a measure if there exists a positive real number *M*, such that  $|\mu(U)| \leq M$  for all compact open sets in *U* in *X*.



### *p*-adic distributions

· An important result in this direction is the following,

#### Theorem

Let  $\mu$  be a map from the set of compact open subsets in *X*, to  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  such that

$$\mu(a + p^{N}) = \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \mu(a + bp^{N} + P^{N+1})$$

for any interval  $a + p^N$  in *X*. Then  $\mu$  extends uniquely to a *p*-adic distribution in *X*.



# Interpolation and related results

Zeta function, p-adic interpolation of the zeta function, Kubota-Leopoldt constructions for padic analougues of zeta function, Kummer's congruence





# The $\zeta$ function

• The Riemann-zeta function is defined as a function on  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p (1 - \frac{1}{p^s})^{-1}$$

- The above series converges absolutely for Re(s) > 1.
- We can also show that it has a meromorphic continuation to all of  $\mathbb{C}$  with a simple pole at s = -1.



# The $\Gamma$ function

• For  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  the gamma function is defined as

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^s \frac{dt}{t}$$

- We have  $\Gamma(s+1)=s\Gamma(s)$  for all  $\operatorname{Re}(s)>0$
- $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$
- Using the fact that  $\Gamma(s + 1) = s\Gamma(s)$ , we can extended it meromorphically to with simple poles at all negative integers.



# Connecting $\zeta$ and $\Gamma$ functions

• We let,

$$\Lambda(s) = \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) \zeta(s)$$

• We observe by a simple computation that,

 $\Lambda(s) = \Lambda(1-s)$ 

for all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ .

• And as a consequence one gets that  $\zeta$ , can be extended analytically onto  $\mathbb{C}$ , with a simple pole at s = 1, with residue 1.



# **Mellin Transform**

#### **Mellin Transform**

Let  $g : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \to \mathbb{C}$ , be a function of rapid decay (i.e  $|g(t)| \ll t^{-N}$ ,  $N \ge 0$ ), then the Mellin transform of g is given by

$$M(g)(s) = \int_0^\infty g(t) t^s \frac{dt}{t}$$

We define the *L*-function as follows,

$$L(f;s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}M(f)$$

for a function  $f \colon \mathbb{R}_{>0} o \mathbb{C}$ , be a function of rapid decay



# Connecting the $\zeta$ and $\Gamma$ (contd...)

#### An useful proposition

L(f;s) converges and is holomorphic function for Re(s) > 0 and hans an analytic continuation to the whole of  $\mathbb C$  and

$$L(f,-n) = (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dt^n} f(0)$$



# Connecting the $\zeta$ and $\Gamma$ (contd...)

We now recall what Bernoulli numbers are,

#### **Bernoulli Numbers**

The  $k^{\text{th}}$  Bernoulli number,  $B_k$  is given by

$$F(t) = \frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k \frac{t^k}{k!}$$

• For our *f* as above we have

$$(s-1)\zeta(s) = L(F, s-1)$$



#### Connecting the $\zeta$ and $\Gamma$ (contd...)

#### An important Corollary

For 
$$n \ge 0$$
, we have  $\zeta(-n) = -\frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1}$   
 $\zeta(-n) = 0$ , when  $n \ge 2$  is an even integer.  
For  $k \ge 0$ , we have  $\zeta(2k) = (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(2\pi)^{2k}}{2(2k)!} B_{2k}$ 



# The $p\text{-}\mathrm{adic}$ analogue of the $\zeta\text{-}\mathrm{function}$

- From our previous results, the *p*-adic analogue can be constructed in two ways
- First way:

We observe that the set  $\{-n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . We can exploit this fact and hope that if 1 - n and 1 - m are so called *p*-adically close, then so is  $-\frac{B_n}{n}$  and  $-\frac{B_m}{m}$  and hence would allow us to build the p-adic analogue via interpolation via measure. This is the method of Kubota-Leopoldt and Mazur.

• Second way:

A much more direct method is to directly give a explicit formulae of *p*-adic *L*-function, which agrees with  $\zeta(s)$  at almost all places except some modification at the negative integers. Such a construction was given by Washington.



# The Kubota-Leopoldt construction

#### *p*-adic Bernoulli Distribution

We have

• The usual analogue of Bernoulli Distribution

$$\mu_k(a+p^n\mathbb{Z}_p)=p^{n(k-1)}B_k(\frac{a}{p^n})$$

Regularized Bernoulli Distribution

$$\mu_{k,\alpha}(U) = \mu(U) - \alpha^k \mu_k(\alpha^{-1}U)$$

for any compact open set  $U \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\times}$ .



#### We have two observations

- $\mu_{k,\alpha}$  is a *p*-adic measure.
- Let  $d_k$  = least common denominator of the coefficient of  $B_k(x)$ , then

$$d_k\mu_{k,\alpha}(a+p^n\mathbb{Z}_p) \equiv d_kka^{k-1}\mu_{1,\alpha}(a+p^n\mathbb{Z}_p) \pmod{p^n}$$

#### An important theorem

If  $f \colon \mathbb{Z}_p o \mathbb{Q}_p$  is a continuous function, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\mu_{k,lpha}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) k x^{k-1} d\mu_{1,lpha}(x) \, .$$



#### An important corollary

For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\times}$  is not a root of unity then,

$$B_k = rac{k}{1-lpha^n} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^{k-1} d\mu_{1,lpha}(x)$$

- If p|n then  $f(s) = n^s$ , does not extend to a continuous function of a *p*-adic variable, hence our naive approach won't work.
- We instead consider a much more constructive approach to get around it.
- We define:

$$\Lambda_{s_0} = \{s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} : s \equiv s_0 \mod p\}$$



• We consider the natural embedding

$$egin{array}{rcl} \Lambda_{s_0}&\hookrightarrow&rac{\mathbb{Z}}{(p-1)\mathbb{Z}} imes\mathbb{Z}_p\ \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}&\hookrightarrow&rac{\mathbb{Z}}{(p-1)\mathbb{Z}} imes\mathbb{Z}_p\ n&\to&([n]_{p-1},n) \end{array}$$



#### **An Important Lemma**

If  $p \not| n$ , then  $f(s) = n^s$  extends to a continuous analytic function on  $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{(p-1)\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

• So this suggest to shrink our domain to  $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\times}$ .

#### **Defining the analogue**

Let  $\alpha \neq 1$  be a rational number and not divisible by p, then for any positive integer k we get,

$$\zeta_p(1-k) = \frac{1}{\alpha^{-k}-1} \int_{(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\times}} x^{k-1} d\mu_{1,\alpha}$$

One can check this is well-defined



· With a little manipulation, we can observe that,

$$\zeta_p(1-k) = (1-p^{k-1}) - \frac{B_k}{k}$$

• We are almost done except the continuity, which can achieved by the Kummer's congruences,

#### **Kummer's Congruences**

- 1. if  $(p-1) \not| k$  then  $\frac{B_k}{k}$ , is a *p*-adic integer.
- 2. if  $(p-1) \not| k$  and  $k \equiv k' \mod (p-1)p^N$ , then

$$(1-p^{k-1})rac{B_k}{k}\equiv (1-p^{k'-1})rac{B_k}{k'} \mod p^{N+1}$$



# Kubota-Leoplodt *p*-adic *L* functions

We end our discussion with the Kubota-Leopoldt *p*-adic *L* functions.

#### Kubota-Leopoldt *p*-adic *L* functions

For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\alpha \neq 1$  and  $p \not| \alpha$  and for a fixed integer  $s_0 \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-2\}$ , then

$$\zeta_{p,s_0} = rac{1}{lpha^{-(s_0+(p-1)s)}-1}\int_{(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{ imes}} x^{s_0+(p-1)s-1}d\mu_{1,lpha}$$

for any *p*-adic integer s except at s = 0, in case of  $s_0 = 0$ .



#### Washington's Construction

#### Let $\chi$ be a Dirichlet character of conductor f, and let F be some multiple of q and f.

$$L_p(s,\chi) = \frac{1}{F} \frac{1}{s-1} \sum_{a=1, \ p \mid a}^{F} \chi(a) < a >^{1-s} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{1-s}{j} (B_j) (\frac{F}{a})^j$$



# Conclusion (Local and Global Class Field Theory)

Investigating into Local and Global Class Field Theories, Statement of the Iwasawa Main Conjecture





- We have seen existence of a power series  $g(T) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[|T|]$  (from the analytic side).
- Now we try to construct a similar set up from the algebraic set side.
- Our main goal in modern number theory is to study  $G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  or the same for any number field *K*.
- Standard method for gaining insight into the structure of  $G_{K}$ , on arithmetic objects related to K(Galois representations).
- Class Field Theory describes  $G_K^{ab}$  =max abelian quotient of  $G_K$  as a first step towards the understanding of  $G_K$ .



- We know that for each integer m > 1, the cyclotomic extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q}$  is an abelian extension with Galois group  $G = \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ .
- So we get a simple process to construct abelian extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We pick  $m \ge 1$  and take any subfield of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ .
- A remarkable result would in this direction is the Kronecker Weber theorem in 1853.

**Kronecker Weber Theorem (Global)** 

Every finite abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  lies in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ .



#### Kronecker Weber Theorem (Local)

Every finite abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  lies in  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_m)$ .

- An interesting proposition is that, the global theorem is true iff the local theorem is true.
- Also if we let,  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  be a cyclic extension of  $l^r$ , for some prime  $l \neq p$ , then  $K \subset \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_m)$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ .
- If we let *l* = *p* as above, then too it holds similarly, but the approach to proof is different.



- Our main approach is to provide an analogue of the Kronecker-Weber theorem for any general number field.
- We head to the more general theorem,



#### **Local Class Field Theory**

Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  be a finite extension, then there exists an unique isomorphism

 $\varphi:\hat{K^{ imes}} \to G^{ab}_K$ 

(called local Artin map), with the following propositions,

for any uniformizer  $\pi$  of K, restriction of  $\varphi(\pi)$  to the maximal unramified extension of K is the Frobenius element.

for any finite abelian extension L/K, we have an isomorphism,

 $K^{\times}/N_{L/K}(L^{\times}) \to \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$


## **Summary of Local and Global Class Field Theory**

- A remarkable consequence of the Local Class Field Theory is as follows: if p and q are two primes such that  $p \equiv q \pmod{n} \implies \operatorname{Frob}_p = \operatorname{Frob}_q$  in  $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q})$  and conversely.
- Now the Global Kronecker Weber Theory implies that a similar thing holds for any abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e if  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  is finite abelian, then there exists n such that Frob<sub>p</sub> = Frob<sub>q</sub>, whenever  $p \equiv q \pmod{n}$ .
- This statement helps us get moving towards the global Class Field Theory.



## **Summary of Local and Global Class Field Theory**

## **The Global Class Field Theory**

(Reciprocity) L/K finite abelian and let S =set of primes of K ramifying in L, then there exists a modulus m of K, prime to S, such that the Artin map induces a surjection

$$c_m \to \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$$

Moreover it induces an isomorphism,

 $I^{s}/(i(K^{m,1}).N_{L/K}.I_{L}^{S}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$ 

(Existence) Given any modulus n of K there exists an abelian extension  $K_m/K$  (also known as the Ray Class Field), the Artin map induces an isomorphism.



## **THANK YOU**

*I thank everyone for their valuable attention!* 

